

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,991	12/17/2003	Arthur H. Laflamme JR.	071469-0306511 (PC6025A)	6448
69792 7590 06/14/2007 TOKYO ELECTRON U.S. HOLDINGS, INC. 4350 W. CHANDLER BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, KARLA A	
SUITE 10 CHANDLER,	AZ 85226		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,991	LAFLAMME ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karla Moore	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		1
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-34,36-41,43 and 44 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6,8,10-12,14-16,18 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,9,13,17,19,20,22,27,28,30,31,3 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/a	3,21,23-26,29,32-34,39-41,44 is/a 6-38 and 43 is/are rejected. or election requirement.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 7, 9, 17, 19-20, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okase (US 6,228,173) in view of Lingampalli (US 6,632,325) and Imafuku (US 2004/0083970 A1).

with respect to Claim 1: Okase discloses a reduced maintenance processing system for treating a substrate comprising: a chemical treatment system for chemically altering exposed surface layers (Fig. 1 Item 182) on the substrate comprising a temperature controlled chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 162); a thermal treatment system for thermally treating the chemically altered surface layers on the substrate (Fig.2 Item 22), the thermal treatment system comprising a temperature controlled thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 2 Item 44) having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface (Fig. 2 Item 68, Column 6 Lines 52-54); and a thermal insulation assembly coupled to the thermal treatment system and the chemical treatment system (Fig. 1 Item 3). The protective barrier on the interior surface of the chemical treatment chamber comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Paragraph 80 Lines 1-4). Anodized aluminum is

Art Unit: 1763

. 1762

composed mostly of Al₂O₃, see definition of anodizing in the online encyclopedia Wikipedia third paragraph.

Okase does not expressly disclose the chemical treatment and thermal treatment chambers have a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface (Column 5 Lines 31-42).

Lingampalli discloses a chemical and thermal treatment chamber with a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of the interior surface. Okase and Lingampalli are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely semiconductor processing apparatus.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form Okase's apparatus including a chemical treatment and thermal treatment chamber having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface in view of the teaching of Lingampalli. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to provide a chemical and thermal treatment chamber with internal protective coating (Column 5 Lines 31-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Okase with Lingampalli for the benefit of a chemical treatment and thermal treatment chamber having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface to obtain the invention specified in Claim 1.

Okase in view of Lingampalli does not expressly state the thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier layer comprising one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ on at least one exposed surface.

Imafuku teaches the thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface for the purpose of preventing damage attributable to plasma on exposed surfaces and the extent of metal contamination and dust generation is lowered (Abstract and Paragraph 27, Lines 1-6). Okase, Lingampalli, and Imafuku are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely semiconductor processing apparatus.

Although Imafuku does not disclose the provision of a protective barrier layer on all of the surfaces of the apparatus exposed to plasma, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so with expectation of achieving the same results described in Imafuku.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the apparatus of Okase in view of Lingampalli including a thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to provide a stable condition maintainable even when it is exposed to plasma and to prevent damage attributable to plasma on exposed surfaces and to lower the extent of metal contamination and dust generation (Abstract and Paragraph 27, Lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Okase in view of Lingampalli with Imafuku for the benefit of having a thermal insulation assembly comprising a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface to obtain the invention specified in Claim 2.

Art Unit: 1763

With respect to Claim 7: Lingampalli discloses a processing system, wherein the protective barrier on the Interior surface of the chemical treatment chamber comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Column 5 Lines 31-42).

With respect to Claim 9: Okase discloses a processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the chemical treatment system further comprises a temperature controlled substrate holder (Fig. 2 Item 44) making a protective barrier formed on at least a portion thereof (Fig. 2 Item 68), the protective barrier on the temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the chemical treatment chamber comprising at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Column 6 Lines 51-54).

With respect to Claim 17: Lingampalli discloses a processing system, wherein the protective barrier on the interior surface of temperature controlled thermal treatment chamber comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Column 5 Lines 31-42).

With respect to Claim 19: Okase discloses a processing system wherein the thermal treatment system further comprises a temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 2 Item 44) and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface (Fig. 2 Item 68), the protective barrier on the exposed surface of the temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the temperature controlled thermal treatment chamber comprises at

least one of Al_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , Sc_2O_3 , Sc_2F_3 , YF_3 , La_2O_3 , CeO_2 , Eu_2O_3 , and DyO_3 (Column 6 Lines 51-54).

With respect to Claim 20: Imafuku discloses a processing system, wherein the thermal insulation assembly comprises a gate valve assembly, wherein a protective barrier is formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface of the gate valve assembly (Fig. 2 Items 200 and 300).

With respect to Claim 22: Imafuku discloses a processing system, wherein the protective barrier on the exposed surface of the gate valve assembly comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Paragraph 27 Lines 1-6).

With respect to Claim 27: Okase discloses a processing system, wherein the thermal treatment system further comprises a substrate lifter assembly coupled to the thermal treatment chamber for vertically translating the substrate between a transfer plane and the substrate holder (Fig. 2 Item 62).

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okase, Lingampalli and Imafuku as applied to claims 1-2, 7, 9, 17, 19-20, 22 and 27 above, and further in view of Carducci (US 2003/0037880 A1).

With respect to Claim 3: Okase discloses a processing system, wherein the chemical treatment system further comprises a temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 14 Item 162) and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface (Fig. 14 Item 134,

Column 12 Lines 59-60), a vacuum pumping system coupled to the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 14 Item 179), the thermal treatment system further comprises a temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 2 Item 44) and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface (Fig. 2 Item 68), and a vacuum pumping system coupled to the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 2 Item 32).

Lingampalli discloses a gas distribution plate comprising a plurality of gas injection orifices and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface of the gas distribution plate and at least a portion of an exposed surface of each orifice (Fig. 1 Item 118, Column 5 Lines 38-42).

However, Okase in view of Lingampalli does not expressly state the gas distribution plate is coupled to a temperature controlled gas distribution system for introducing a process gas into the chemical treatment chamber; and the processing system further comprises a control system coupled to the chemical treatment system and the thermal treatment system, and configured to control at least one of a chemical treatment chamber temperature, a chemical treatment gas distribution system temperature, a chemical treatment substrate holder temperature, a chemical treatment substrate temperature, a chemical treatment processing pressure, a chemical treatment gas flow rate, a thermal treatment chamber temperature, a thermal treatment substrate holder temperature, a thermal treatment processing pressure, and a thermal treatment gas flow rate.

Carducci teaches the gas distribution plate is coupled to a temperature controlled gas distribution system for introducing a process gas into the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 140); and the processing system further comprises a control system coupled to the chemical treatment system and the thermal treatment system, and configured to control at least one of a chemical treatment chamber temperature, a chemical treatment gas distribution system temperature, a chemical treatment substrate holder temperature, a chemical treatment substrate temperature, a chemical treatment processing pressure, a chemical treatment gas flow rate, a thermal treatment chamber temperature, a thermal treatment substrate holder temperature, a thermal treatment substrate temperature, a thermal treatment substrate temperature, a thermal treatment processing pressure, and a thermal treatment gas flow rate (Fig. 1 Item 140). Okase, Lingampalli and Carducci are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely semiconductor processing apparatus.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the apparatus of Okase in view of Lingampalli including the gas distribution plate is coupled to a temperature controlled gas distribution system for introducing a process gas into the chemical treatment chamber; and the processing system further comprises a control system coupled to the chemical treatment system and the thermal treatment system, and configured to control at least one of a chemical treatment chamber temperature, a chemical treatment gas distribution system temperature, a chemical treatment substrate holder temperature, a chemical treatment substrate temperature, a chemical treatment processing pressure, a chemical treatment

gas flow rate, a thermal treatment chamber temperature, a thermal treatment substrate holder temperature, a thermal treatment substrate temperature, a thermal treatment processing pressure, and a thermal treatment gas flow rate in view of the teaching of Carducci. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Okase in view of Lingampalli with Carducci to obtain the invention specified in Claim 3.

With respect to Claim 13: Carducci teaches a processing system, wherein the chemical treatment system further comprises a gas distribution plate comprising a plurality of gas injection orifices (Fig. 1 Item 350) and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface of the gas distribution plate (Paragraph 91 Lines 2-4) and at least a portion of an exposed surface of each orifice (Paragraph 99 Lines 8-12), wherein the gas distribution plate is coupled to a temperature controlled gas distribution system for introducing a process gas into the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 140).

Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Okase, Lingampalli and Imafuku as applied to claims 1-2, 7, 9, 17, 19-20, 22 and 27 above, and further in view of Perlov (US 2002/0170672 A1).

With respect to Claim 28: Okase, Lingampalli and Imafuku disclose a processing system substantially as claimed and as described above.

However, Okase, Lingampalli and Imafuku do not expressly state the substrate lifter assembly comprises a blade having three or more tabs for receiving the substrate and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface, and

Art Unit: 1763

a drive system for vertically translating the substrate between the substrate holder and a transfer plane.

Perlov teaches the substrate lifter assembly comprises a blade having three or more tabs (Fig. 1 Items 25a-c) for receiving the substrate and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface (Paragraph 27 Lines 1-4), and a drive system for vertically translating the substrate between the substrate holder and a transfer plane (Fig 2 Item 24). Okase, Lingampalli and Perlov are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely semiconductor processing apparatus.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the apparatus disclosed in Okase, Lingampalli and Imafuku including the substrate lifter assembly comprises a blade having three or more tabs for receiving the substrate and having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface, and a drive system for vertically translating the substrate between the substrate holder and a transfer plane in view of the teaching of Perlov. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to provide a lift that does not produce particles or scratch a substrate during contact (Paragraph 27 Lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Okase in view of Lingampalli with Perlov to obtain the invention specified in Claim 28.

It is also noted that Perlov teaches a processing system, wherein a protective barrier is formed on exposed surfaces (Paragraph 27 Lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 31, 36-38 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carducci (US 2003/0037880 A1) in view of Imafuku (US 2004/0083970 A1).

With respect to Claim 31: Carducci discloses a chemical treatment system for chemically altering exposed surface layer on the substrate substantially as claimed and comprising: a temperature controlled chemical treatment chamber having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface (Paragraph 80 Lines 1-4); a temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 112); a vacuum pumping system coupled to me chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 109), and a gas distribution plate comprising a plurality of gas injection orifices (Fig. 1 Item 350), the gas distribution plate being coupled to a temperature controlled gas distribution system for introducing a process gas into the chemical treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 140). The protective barrier on the interior surface of the chemical treatment chamber comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Paragraph 80 Lines 1-4).

Carducci does not disclose the thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier layer comprising one of Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ on at least one exposed surface.

Imafuku teaches the thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface for the purpose of preventing damage attributable to plasma on exposed surfaces and the extent of metal contamination and dust generation is lowered (Abstract and Paragraph 27, Lines 1-6). Okase, Lingampalli, and Imafuku are

analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely semiconductor processing apparatus.

Although Imafuku does not disclose the provision of a protective barrier layer on all of the surfaces of the apparatus exposed to plasma, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so with expectation of achieving the same results described in Imafuku.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the apparatus of Okase in view of Lingampalli including a thermal insulation assembly comprises a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to provide a stable condition maintainable even when it is exposed to plasma and to prevent damage attributable to plasma on exposed surfaces and to lower the extent of metal contamination and dust generation (Abstract and Paragraph 27, Lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Okase in view of Lingampalli with Imafuku for the benefit of having a thermal insulation assembly comprising a protective barrier on at least one exposed surface to obtain the invention specified in Claim 2.

With respect to Claim 36: Carducci discloses chemical treatment system of claim 31, wherein the substrate holder has a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an exposed surface (Paragraph 59 Lines 1-6).

With respect to Claim 37: Carducci discloses a chemical treatment system of claim 31, wherein a protective barrier is formed on at least a portion of an exposed

surface of the gas distribution plate and on at least a portion of an exposed surface of each orifice (Paragraph 80 Lines 1-4).

With respect to Claim 38: Carducci discloses a thermal treatment system for thermally treating the chemically altered surface layers on the substrate (Fig. 1 Item 112), the thermal treatment system comprising: a temperature controlled thermal treatment chamber having a protective barrier formed on at least a portion of an interior surface (Fig. 1 Item 121); a temperature controlled substrate holder mounted within the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 124); a vacuum pumping system coupled to the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 109); and a temperature controlled upper assembly coupled to the thermal treatment chamber (Fig. 1 Item 140). The protective barrier on the interior surface of the thermal treatment chamber comprises at least one of Al₂O₃, Y₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Sc₂F₃, YF₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Eu₂O₃, and DyO₃ (Paragraph 80 Lines 1-4).

With respect to Claim 43: Carducci discloses a thermal treatment system as claimed in claim 38, wherein the substrate holder has a protective barrier formed on at least one exposed surface (Paragraph 59 Lines 1-6).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Imafuku et al. teaches the use of a protective barrier layer as claimed as well as motivation for providing the protective barrier layer, as described above.

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katla/Moore Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763 11 June 2007